# ternational Centre for Allied Health Evidence

### *i*CAHE JC Critical Appraisal Summary Journal Club Details

Journal Club location NARS

JC Facilitator Ellen Musolino

JC Discipline Dietetics

### Question

What are the energy and protein recommendations for patients post neck of femur fracture?

### **Review Question/PICO/PACO**

**P:** N/A

I: N/A

C: N/A

**O**: N/A

### **Article/Paper**

Avenell, A., Smith, T.O., Curtain, J.P., Mak, J. and Myint, P.K., 2016. Nutritional supplementation for hip fracture aftercare in older people. *The Cochrane Library* 

Please note: due to copyright regulations CAHE is unable to supply a copy of the critically appraised paper/article. If you are an employee of the South Australian government you can obtain a copy of articles from the <u>DOHSA librarian</u>.

Article Methodology: Systematic Review



University of South Australia

International Centre for Allied Health Evidence

### **CONTACTS**

www.unisa.edu.au/cahe iCAHE@unisa.edu.au Telephone: +61 8 830 22099 Fax: +61 8 830 22853

University of South Australia GPO Box 2471 Adelaide SA 5001 Australia

CRICOS Provider Number 00121B



University of South Australia

International Centre for Allied Health Evidence &CAHE

Ques No.	Yes	Can't Tell	No	Comments
				Did the review address a clearly focused question?
1	✓			To review the effects (benefits and harms) of nutritional interventions in older people recovering from hip fracture
				Did the authors look for the appropriate sort of papers?
				We searched the Cochrane Bone, Joint and Muscle Trauma Group Specialised Register, CENTRAL, MEDLINE, MEDLINE InProcess & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Embase, CAB Abstracts, CINAHL, trial registers and reference lists.
				Example of Key Words: exp Hip Fractures OR ((hip* or femur* or femoral* or trochant* or pertrochant* or intertrochant* or subtrochant* or intracapsular* or extracapsular*) adj3 fracture*). AND exp Food or exp Diet or Nutritional Status or Nutritional Requirements or
2	<b>√</b>			Nutrition assessment or exp Nutrition Therapy or exp Nutrition Disorders or Dietetics or (food* or feed* or fed or diet* or nutri* or supplement* or calorie* or energy intake or macronutrient* or micronutrient*) or Calcium, Dietary or Iron, Dietary or Phosphorus, Dietary or Potassium, Dietary or Sodium, Dietary or exp Magnesium or Sulfur or Fluorides or exp Trace Elements or (magnesium or chloride* or sulfate* or sulphate* or fluoride* or zinc or copper or selen* or manganese or molybdenum or chromium or cobalt or iodi#e or trace element* or trace metal* or micronutrient*) or Vitamins or exp Carotenoids or (vitamin*or ascorb*or thiamin* or riboflavin* or pyridox*or niacin*or fola* or folic or biotin or cobalamin* or retino* or caroten* or tocopher* or dihydrotachysterol or calcitriol or cholecalciferol or alfacalcidol or alphacalcidol)
				Is it worth continuing? YES
				Do you think the important, relevant studies were included?
3	<b>✓</b>			Randomised and quasi-randomised controlled trials of nutritional interventions for people aged over 65 years with hip fracture where the interventions were started within the first month after hip fracture.
				Did the review's authors do enough to assess the quality of the included studies?
4	<b>√</b>			Two review authors independently assessed risk of bias in all included trials using the Cochrane 'Risk of bias' tool. This assesses sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants or personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, completeness of outcome data, selective outcome reporting and other potential sources of bias. We considered primary and secondary outcomes separately in our assessment of blinding of outcome assessment and completeness of outcome data. We resolved any differences of opinion by consensus or by consulting a third party.

## International Centre for Allied Health Evidence ( iCAHE)

### **CONTACTS**

www.unisa.edu.au/cahe iCAHE@unisa.edu.au Telephone: +61 8 830 22099 Fax: +61 8 830 22853

University of South Australia GPO Box 2471 Adelaide SA 5001 Australia

CRICOS Provider Number 00121B



University of South Australia

International Centre for Allied Health Evidence &CAHE

			If the results of the review have been combined, was it
			reasonable to do so?
			Heterogeneity was assessed by visual inspection of the forest plot
			(analysis) along with consideration of the Chi <sup>2</sup> test for heterogeneity and
			the I <sup>2</sup> statistic.
5	<b>√</b>		the i statistic.
			In some cases for this meta-analysis, levels of heterogeneity were not
			significant, indicating that these studies were not similar enough to have
			been compared via meta-analysis. It is worth examining the forest plots
			provided for their heterogeneity when interpreting the results.
			What are the overall results of the reviews?
			Eighteen studies examined the use of additional oral feeds that provided
			energy from sources other than protein, protein, some vitamins and
			minerals. There was low-quality evidence that these multinutrient oral
			feeds may not reduce mortality but that they may reduce the number of
			people with complications (e.g. pressure sore, infection, venous
			thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, confusion). There was very low-quality
			evidence that oral multinutrient feeds may reduce unfavourable outcome
			(death or complications) and that they did not result in increased vomiting
			and diarrhoea. Four studies examined nasogastric tube feeding, where
			liquid food is delivered via a tube inserted into the nose and passed down
			into the stomach, with non-protein energy, protein, some vitamins and
			minerals. These studies provided very low-quality evidence that tube
			feeding, which was poorly tolerated, did not seem to make a difference to
			mortality or complications. Unfavourable outcome was not recorded and
			there was insufficient evidence on adverse events. One study provided
			very low-quality evidence that nasogastric tube feeding followed by oral
			feeds may not affect mortality or complications. It reported that tube
			feeding was poorly tolerated. One study provided very low-quality
			evidence that giving feed into a vein initially and then by mouth may not
6			affect mortality but may reduce complications. However, we were
			surprised that this intervention was being used in people who seemed to
			be able to take nutrition orally. Increasing protein intake in an oral feed
			was tested in four studies. These provided low-quality evidence of no
			clear effect on mortality or complications and very low-quality evidence
			for a reduction in unfavourable outcome. Studies testing intravenous
			vitamin B1 and other water soluble vitamins, oral 1-alpha-
			hydroxycholecalciferol (vitamin D), high dose bolus vitamin D, different
			oral doses or sources of vitamin D, intravenous or oral iron, ornithine
			alpha-ketoglutarate versus an isonitrogenous peptide supplement, taurine versus placebo, and a supplement with vitamins, minerals and amino
			acids, provided low or very low-quality evidence of no clear effect on
			mortality or complications, where reported. One study, evaluating the use
			of dietetic assistants to help with feeding, provided low-quality evidence
			that this may reduce mortality but not the numbers of people with
			complications. Oral supplements with non-protein energy, protein,
			vitamins and minerals started before or soon after surgery may prevent
			complications after hip fracture in older people but may not affect
			mortality. Adequately sized randomised studies with better design are
			required. We suggest that the role of dietetic assistants, and of peripheral
			venous feeding or nasogastric feeding in very malnourished patients,
			require further evaluation.
7			How precise are the results?
7			Both 95% confidence intervals and P values were provided.

## International Centre for Allied Health Evidence ( iCAHE)

### CONTACTS

www.unisa.edu.au/cahe iCAHE@unisa.edu.au Telephone: +61 8 830 22099 Fax: +61 8 830 22853

University of South Australia GPO Box 2471 Adelaide SA 5001 Australia

CRICOS Provider Number 00121B



University of South Australia

International Centre for Allied Health Evidence &CAHE

		Ţ.
	1	Can the results be applied to the local population?
		CONTEXT ASSESSMENT (please refer to attached document)
		<ul><li>Infrastructure</li></ul>
		- Available workforce (? Need for substitute workforce?)
		- Patient characteristics
		- Training and upskilling, accreditation, recognition
		<ul> <li>Ready access to information sources</li> </ul>
8		Legislative, financial & systems support
		<ul> <li>Health service system, referral processes and decision- makers</li> </ul>
		- Communication
		Best ways of presenting information to different end-users
	Journal Club to discuss	Availability of relevant equipment
	uiscuss	Cultural acceptability of recommendations
		Others
9		Were all important outcomes considered?
10		Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?
11		What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical practice, systems or processes)?
12		What are your next steps?
		ADOPT, CONTEXTUALISE, ADAPT
		And then (e.g. evaluate clinical practice against evidence- based recommendations; organise the next four journal club meetings around this topic to build the evidence base; organize training for staff, etc.)
13		What is required to implement these next steps?
	•	•